

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/775,425

Applicant(s)
Chase et al

Examiner
Russell D. Stormer

Art Unit
3617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell D. Stormer

(3) _____

(2) Remy Van Ophem

(4) _____

Date of Interview 28 Jan 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15

Identification of prior art discussed:
Todd

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Van Ophem wished to have the Examiner clarify the comments made in the last Office action dated November 5, 2002, namely those made in paragraphs 2 and 14 of that action. Upon discussion it was determined that the Office action dated May 10, 2002 should have included the word --not-- before the word "appear" in line 4 of paragraph 1 of the action. The misunderstanding was cleared up during the interview and the Examiner retracts the statement in paragraph 2 of the November 5, 2002 action. The Examiner expressed his uneasiness about certain comments deemed to be derogatory to the prior art of record found on page 16 of Applicants' response filed August 9, 2002. The limitations that the peripheral lip of the overlay cannot extend beyond the outermost edge of the wheel flange lip were reiterated.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required 1/29/03